

Is there a more easily understood and accepted term to explain how bioresonance therapy works?

Hans Brügemann, Alling, Germany

Dear Congress participants,

Why have I asked this question?

As you know, it is not always easy explaining how bioresonance works, especially to those who may be sceptical. Understandably, many find it difficult to interpret the explanation that it is all to do with "electromagnetic oscillations" in terms of human and animal biological systems.

It is not a case of whether the term "electromagnetic" is factually accurate but how it is understood with regard to bioresonance. Here is how the German Duden dictionary defines *electromagnetic*:

"all phenomena in which electrical currents and magnetic fields are inextricably linked"

This definition shows that the term clearly belongs to the field of **classical physics** and it is assumed as a consequence that such phenomena can be detected.

If we speak in terms of "electromagnetic oscillations" as the principle of action underlying bioresonance, it is reasonable to expect that technicians, physicists or electronics engineers, etc. will ask for measurable evidence.

It is interesting in this context how the body's endogenous oscillations came to be described as "electromagnetic" oscillations.

For this we need to take a brief look back at the early days of bioresonance therapy.

The precursor of bioresonance therapy was a transceiver system for testing medication. This required an electrically generated carrier frequency which transmitted the oscillations from homeopathic test ampoules from the transmitter to the receiver and from there on to the patient.

Consequently it was natural to talk about **electromagnetic oscillations** when referring to the oscillations being transferred from the medication since a **technically generated carrier frequency** was required for the transfer.

When Dr Morell und Erich Rasche took the next step on the road to bioresonance therapy in 1977, it made sense to use the term "electromagnetic" to explain how bioresonance therapy works even though it does not operate with a carrier frequency.

That the body has its own endogenous oscillations is still a new idea, even in biophysics. To which area of biophysics should the body's endogenous oscillations be assigned?

From a critical scientific perspective, we still do not know to which area of biophysics the "body's endogenous oscillations" should be assigned. Are they the scalar waves Professor Meyl speaks of or Professor Popp's biophotons, zero point energy or what quantum mechanics talks about when it describes entanglement?

But in all these areas there is one term which plays a central role: **information**. One might almost speak here of a lowest common denominator.

Here are some quotes:

In Dr Hennecke's book "Bioresonanz – Eine neue Sicht der Medizin [Bioresonance: a new view of medicine]" we find the following meaningful sentence:

Our universe consists of "energy" in the form of "matter" and "interactive quanta" and "information".

And he goes on to write: *The term **information** has not yet been clearly defined from a physical viewpoint. Some scientists regard "information" as a special form of energy. Others do not actually see energy in "information", yet assume that all processes involving elementary particles are controlled by information.*

Dr Hanzl's book "Das neue medizinische Paradigma [The new medical paradigm]" contains the following:

"Information is neither matter nor energy." And "It seems important to stress that information certainly can be, but is not necessarily, linked to matter. Information can just as well consist of an oscillation pattern of a field (e.g. of the electromagnetic field)."

Günter Willmroth captioned an article in the journal CO.med with the question: **"Can information heal?"** Here is a quote from this article: *"Information which is linked to matter as the information carrier can also be transferred to or by electromagnetic waves." And "Information can also be linked back to material structures."*

And continuing: *"We can therefore establish that, using equipment, information can be picked up, interpreted and supplied through*

a variety of channels – in a simple, as well as in a highly complex, form."

"Information can heal?" YES!

If we say **information** is the principle by which bioresonance operates, there is less likelihood of being asked for measurable evidence.

Some time ago Dr Köhler changed the name of the medical association Dr Morell and I founded to the "International Medical Association for Biophysical Information Therapy (BIT)".

Information therapy more clearly conveys the basic principle underlying bioresonance.

So we could say: information is picked up from the body and returned back to the body in modulated form, with resonance playing an important role in the process. Practically everything which we deal with in bioresonance is information: information from the body, from bodily secretions and excretions, from medication, allergens and toxins, in other words from all kinds of substances and from interference energy.

In light of these findings I feel it would be sensible to use the term "information" in future, instead of "electromagnetic oscillations", and to call our method

Bioresonance Information Method (BIM).

It might also make it easier in future when applying for authorisation in various countries around the world to use the term "information" to indicate the principle of action underlying bioresonance.